arXiv:math/0608207v1 [math.DS] 9 Aug 2006

In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful

Ergodicity of power series-map on the simplex of group algebra of a finite group.

Ural Bekbaev [1], Mohamat Aidil M. J.[2]

Department of Mathematics & Institute for Mathematical Research,

FS,UPM, 43400, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

Abstract

A finite group G, its group algebra $R[G]$ over the field of real numbers, any power series $p(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + ...$, where $a_i \geq 0$, and $a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + ... = 1$, and simplex

$$S = \{x = \sum_{g\in G} x_g g \in R[G] : \sum_{g\in G} x_g = 1, x_g \geq 0 \text{ for any } \quad g \in G\}$$

are considered. Ergodicity of the map $p : S \to S$, where $p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + ...$ for $x \in S$, on S is shown. The regularity of this map at a given point $x \in S$ is investigated as well.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 37A25, 20C05.

Key words: Group, group algebra, regular map, ergodicity.

1. Introduction and preliminary

The convergence problems of the sequences $\{p^{[n]}(x)\}_{n\in N}$ (regularity of the map p at x) and $\{q^{(n)}(x)\}_{n\in N}$(ergodicity of the map p at x) , where $p : S \to S$ is a given map, S is a simplex in a finite dimensional real vector space, $x \in S$, $p^{[1]}(x) = p(x)$, $p^{[n+1]}(x) = p(p^{[n]}(x))$, $q^{(n)}(x) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p^{[i]}(x)$ for $n \in N$, are important problems in applications of Mathematics in other areas of Science (see, for example,[1],[2]). We study these problems when S is the natural simplex in the group algebra of a finite group over the real numbers and p is a power series. The polynomial case of $p(t)$ has been considered in [3] and [4].

First let us prove some common results to use in the future. Let $\{p^{[n]}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^{[n]} t^k\}_{n\in N}$ be any sequence of power series for which $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k^{[n]}| < \infty$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} a^{[n]} = 0$, where $a^{[n]} = \sup\{|a_k^{[n]}| : k \in N\}$. It should be noted that the sequence of series $\{q^{(n)}(t)\}_{n\in N} = \{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k^{(n)} t^k\}_{n\in N}$ also holds the same property i.e. $\lim_{n\to\infty} b^{(n)} = 0$, where $b^{(n)} = \sup\{|b_k^{(n)}| : k \in N\}$ and $q^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p^{[i]}(t)$. Let $p_0^{[n]}(t)$ stand for $p^{[n]}(t) - a_0^{[n]}$.

Assume that A is a finite dimensional mono associative algebra over R i.e. every subalgebra of A generated by one element is an associative algebra. In future the vector space A will be considered only with respect to Euclidian topology.

Lemma 1. *If $x \in A$ is such an element that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x^n = 0$ then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} p_0^{[n]}(x) = 0$$

as well.

Proof of this lemma is not difficult due to the finite dimension of A, assumption $\lim_{n\to\infty} a^{[n]} = 0$ and equality $\lim_{n\to\infty} x^n = 0$ or see [3].

---

[1] e-mail: bekbaev@science.upm.edu.my

[2] e-mail: aidil@science.upm.edu.my

Lemma 2. *If* $x \in A$ *and* $\lim_{n\to\infty} x^n = x_0$ *then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(x)$$

exists if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty}[a_0^{[n]}e+(p^{[n]}(1)-a_0^{[n]})x_0]$ *exists, where* e *stands for the identity element of* A. *Moreover when it is the case then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty}[a_0^{[n]}e+(p^{[n]}(1)-a_0^{[n]})x_0]$$

Proof. Due to $x_0 = \lim_{m\to\infty} x^{m+1} = x\lim_{m\to\infty} x^m = (\lim_{m\to\infty} x^m)x$ and $x_0 = \lim_{m\to\infty} x^{2m} = \lim_{m\to\infty} x^m \lim_{m\to\infty} x^m$ one has $x_0 = xx_0 = x_0x = x_0^2$, in particular $x_0(x-x_0) = (x-x_0)x_0 = 0$.

Therefore

$$x^n = (x_0+(x-x_0))^n = x_0^n + (x-x_0)^n = x_0 + (x-x_0)^n$$

and $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x-x_0)^n = 0$. Moreover

$$p^{[n]}(x) = a_0^{[n]}e + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^{[n]}(x_0+(x-x_0))^i = a_0^{[n]}e + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^{[n]}(x_0+(x-x_0)^i) =$$

$$a_0^{[n]}e + p_0^{[n]}(1)x_0 + p_0^{[n]}(x-x_0) = a_0^{[n]}e + p_0^{[n]}(1)x_0 + p_0^{[n]}(x-x_0)$$

and due to Lemma 1 one has $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_0^{[n]}(x-x_0) = 0$. Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(x)$ exists if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty}[a_0^{[n]}e+(p^{[n]}(1)-a_0^{[n]})x_0]$ exists.

Now we will consider any finite group G and its group algebra $A = R[G]$ over the field of real numbers [5]. For any $x \in R[G]$ the number x_g stands for the coefficient at g in the linear expansion of x with respect to the basis $\{g : g \in G\}$.

Let $L : R[G] \to R$ be map defined by $L(x) = \sum_{g\in G} x_g$ for any $x = \sum_{g\in G} x_g g \in R[G]$ and

$$S = \{x \in R[G] : L(x) = 1, x_g \geq 0 \text{ for any } \quad g \in G\}$$

It is clear that S is a compact set and moreover it is closed with respect to the multiplication as far as $L(xy) = L(x)L(y)$ for any $x, y \in R[G]$.

Let $\mathrm{Supp}(x) = \{g : x_g \neq 0\}$ and c stand for $\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G} g \in \overset{\circ}{S}$, where

$$\overset{\circ}{S} = \{x \in S : x_g > 0 \text{ for any } \quad g \in G\}$$

It is evident that $cS = Sc = \{c\}$.

Proposition 1. *If* $y, z \in R[G]$ *any two elements with nonnegative components then*
1. $Supp(y+z) = Supp(y) \cup Supp(z)$
2. $Supp(yz) = Supp(y)Supp(z)$, *where* $Supp(y)Supp(z)$ *stands for* $\{gh : y \in Supp(y), h \in Supp(z)\}$. *In particular,* $|Supp(yz)| \geq max\{|Supp(y)|, |Supp(z)|\}$, *and, if* $y_e \neq 0, z_e \neq 0$ *then* $Supp(y) \cup Supp(z) \subset Supp(yz)$

The proof is evident.

In future x will stand for a fixed element of S and the following notations will be used:

$$n_x = \min\{k \in N : (x^k)_e \neq 0\} = \min\{k \in N : e \in \mathrm{Supp}(x^k)\},$$

where e stands for the neutral element of G,

$$G_x = \langle \mathrm{Supp}(x^{n_x}) \rangle$$

is the subgroup of G generated by $\mathrm{Supp}(x^{n_x})$, $c_x = \frac{1}{|G_x|}\sum_{g\in G_x} g$ and

$$m_x = \min\{k \in N : x^k \in R[G_x]\} = \min\{k \in N : \mathrm{Supp}(x^k) \subset G_x\}$$

It is clear that m_x divides n_x whenever $x \in S$.

Proposition 2. *1. The system of elements* $\{e, x, x^2, ..., x^{n_x-1}\}$ *is linear independent. 2. If* r_1, r_2 *are any nonnegative integers then* $c_x x^{r_1} = c_x x^{r_2}$ *if and only if* $r_1 = r_2 mod m_x$.

Proof. If the system $\{e, x, x^2, ..., x^{n_x-1}\}$ is linear dependent then

$$x^m = a_{m-1}x^{m-1} + a_{m-2}x^{m-2} + ... + a_1 x + a_0 e$$

for some $m < n_x$ and real numbers $a_{m-1}, a_{m-2}, ..., a_0$. Multiplication the above equality by x^{n_x-m} shows that x^{n_x} can be represented as a linear combination of $\{x, x^2, ..., x^{n_x-1}\}$ which is a contradiction as far as $(x^{n_x})_e \neq 0$ and $x_e = (x^2)_e = ... = (x^{n_x-1})_e = 0$. It is the proof of the first part of Proposition 2.

If $n = km_x + r$, where $0 \leq r < m_x$, then $c_x x^n = c_x(x^{m_x})^k x^r = c_x x^r$ because of $x^{m_x} \in R[G_x]$, $x \in S$. If $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < m_x$ and $c_x x^{r_1} = c_x x^{r_2}$ then

$$c_x x^{r_1+m_x-r_2} = c_x x^{r_2+m_x-r_2} = c_x x^{m_x} \in R[G_x]$$

which evidently implies that $r_1+m_x-r_2 < m_x$ and $x^{r_1+m_x-r_2} \in R[G_x]$.This contradiction completes the proof of the second part of Proposition 2.

Proposition 3. *If* $y \in S$ *and* $y_e \neq 0$ *then the following conditions are equivalent.*

$$1. \quad G_y = G$$

$$2. \quad y^n \in \overset{\circ}{S} \quad \textit{for some} \quad n \in N$$

Proof. Due to Proposition 1 one has $\mathrm{Supp}(y^n) \subset \mathrm{Supp}(y^m)$ whenever $n \leq m$. If $G_y = G$ then for every $g \in G$ one can find such $n \in N$ and $g_1, g_2, ..., g_n \in \mathrm{Supp}(y)$ for which $g = g_1 g_2 ... g_n$. Therefore $(y^n)_g \neq 0$. Due to finiteness of G it implies that $y^m \in \overset{\circ}{S}$ for all big enough $m \in N$. The implication of second condition the first is evident.

Let $S(x)$ stand for the set of all limits of all converging subsequences of $\{x^m\}_{m\in N}$.

Theorem 1. *For any* $x \in S$ *the equalities*

$$xc_x = c_x x,$$

$$S(x) = \{c_x x^r : 0 \leq r < m_x\}$$

are valid. In particular, $|S(x)| = 1$ *i.e.* $S(x) = \{c_x\}$ *if and only if* $x \in R[G_x]$.

Proof. Consider the following expansion of the algebra

$$R[G] = Rc \oplus I$$

, where $I = \{x \in R[G] : L(x) = 0\}$. With respect to this expansion one has the following representations $S = c + S_0$, $\quad \overset{\circ}{S} = c + \overset{\circ}{S}_0$, where

$$S_0 = \{x = \sum_{g\in G} x_g g \in I : -\frac{1}{|G|} \leq x_g \leq 1 - \frac{1}{|G|} \quad \text{for any} \quad g \in G\} \qquad \textit{and}$$

$$\overset{\circ}{S}_0 = \{x = \sum_{g\in G} x_g g \in I : -\frac{1}{|G|} < x_g < 1 - \frac{1}{|G|} \quad \text{for any} \quad g \in G\}.$$

First let us consider the case $x \in \overset{\circ}{S}$. In this case, $G_x = G$ and $x = c + x_0$, where $x_0 \in \overset{\circ}{S}_0$. It is clear that

$$x^m = c + x_0^m$$

for any $m \in N$. Due to compactness of S there is a subsequence $\{m_k\}_{k \in N}$ of $\{m\}_{m \in N}$ such that $\{x^{m_k}\}_{k \in N} = \{c + x_0^{m_k}\}_{k \in N}$ converges. But for the given x_0 one can find number $\lambda > 1$ such that $\lambda x_0 \in \overset{\circ}{S}_0$ so that $\overline{x} = c + \lambda x_0 \in \overset{\circ}{S}$. Once again due to compactness of S one can find subsequence $\{m_{k_l}\}_{l \in N}$ of $\{m_k\}_{k \in N}$ such that the sequence $\{\overline{x}^{m_{k_l}}\}_{l \in N} = \{c + \lambda^{m_{k_l}} x_0^{m_{k_l}}\}_{l \in N}$ also converges. But convergence of sequences $\{x^{m_{k_l}}\}_{l \in N} = \{c + x_0^{m_{k_l}}\}_{l \in N}$, $\{\overline{x}^{m_{k_l}}\}_{l \in N} = \{c + \lambda^{m_{k_l}} x_0^{m_{k_l}}\}_{l \in N}$ and the inequality $\lambda > 1$ imply that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_0^{m_k} = 0, \qquad \lim_{k \to \infty} x^{m_k} = c.$$

Now assume that the sequence $\{x^m\}_{m \in N}$ does not converge to c. In this case one can find a subsequence $\{x^{m_s}\}_{s \in N}$ of it which itself has no subsequence converging to c. But due to compactness of S one can find a converging subsequence $\{x^{m_{s_k}}\}_{k \in N}$ of $\{x^{m_s}\}_{s \in N}$. As it has been shown already in such case

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x^{m_{s_k}} = c.$$

This contradiction shows that $\lim_{m \to \infty} x^m = c$ and completes the proof of the theorem in the case $x \in \overset{\circ}{S}$.

Now let us consider case $x \in S \setminus \overset{\circ}{S}_0$ such that $x^n \in \overset{\circ}{S}_0$ for some fixed $n \in N$. Due to the proof of the previous case $\lim_{m \to \infty} x^{nm} = c$. Let $\{m_k\}_{k \in N}$ be any subsequence of $\{m\}_{m \in N}$, for which $\{x^{m_k}\}_{k \in N}$ converges, and $m_k = nq_k + r_k$, where q_k is an integer and $0 \leq r_k < n$ for any $k \in N$. In this case $\lim_{k \to \infty} x^{m_k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} x^{nq_k + r_k} = \lim_{k \to \infty}[(x^n)^{q_k} x^{r_k}] = \lim_{k \to \infty}[x^{r_k}(x^n)^{q_k}]$. Without loss of generality one can assume that $r_k = r$ for all $k \in N$. Therefore $\lim_{k \to \infty} x^{m_k} = (\lim_{k \to \infty}(x^n)^{q_k})x^r = cx^r = x^r c = c$ as far as $x = c + x_0$ and $cx_0 = x_0 c = 0$.

Now let x be any element of S. One has $x^{m_x} \in R[G_x]$ and due to Proposition 3 there is $n \in N$ for which

$$x^{nn_x} \in \overset{\circ}{S}_{G_x} = \{y = \sum_{g \in G_x} y_g g : L(y) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad y_g > 0 \text{ for any } \quad g \in G_x\}.$$

It implies that $x^{mm_x} \in \overset{\circ}{S}_{G_x}$ for some $m \in N$ a far as m_x divides n_x. Therefore due to the first part of the proof

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (x^{m_x})^k = c_x.$$

Assume that a sequence $\{x^{m_k}\}_{k \to \infty}$ converges and $m_k = m_x q_k + r_k$, where $0 \leq r_k < m_x$ for any $k \in N$. One can assume that $r_k = r$ for all $k \in N$. Therefore

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x^{m_k} = \lim_{k \to \infty}[(x^{m_x})^{q_k} x^r] = \lim_{k \to \infty}[(x^{m_x})^{q_k}]x^r = x^r \lim_{k \to \infty}[(x^{m_x})^{q_k}] = c_x x^r = x^r c_x$$

So

$$S(x) = \{c_x x^r : 0 \leq r < m_x\} = \{x^r c_x : 0 \leq r < m_x\}$$

and due to Proposition 2 one has $|S(x)| = 1$ if and only if $m_x = 1$ i.e. $x \in R[G_x]$. This is the end of proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 4. *1. For any $x \in S$ the inequality $n_{xc_x} \leq m_x$ is true.*
2. If $n_{xc_x} = n_x$ then $n_x = m_x = m_{xc_x}$

Proof. To show the inequality $n_{xc_x} \leq m_x$ assume that $\mathrm{Supp}(x^m) \subset G_x$ for some $m \in N$. In this case $e \in \mathrm{Supp}((xc_x)^m)$ as far as $xc_x = c_x x$ due to Theorem 1 and $e \in \mathrm{Supp}(x)^m G_x = \mathrm{Supp}((xc_x)^m)$ which implies that $n_{xc_x} \leq m$ i.e. $n_{xc_x} \leq m_x$.

Now let us show the equalities $n_x = m_x = m_{xc_x}$. According to the first part one has $n_{xc_x} \leq m_x \leq n_x$ and therefore $n_{xc_x} = m_x = n_x$. Due to $n_{xc_x} = n_x$ one has $G_{xc_x} = \langle \mathrm{Supp}((xc_x)^{n_{xc_x}}) \rangle = \langle \mathrm{Supp}(x^{n_x})\mathrm{Supp}(c_x) \rangle = \langle \mathrm{Supp}(x^{n_x}) G_x \rangle = G_x$ as far as $G_x = \langle \mathrm{Supp}(x^{n_x}) \rangle$. The equality

$$\mathrm{Supp}((xc_x)^n) = \mathrm{Supp}(x^n) G_x$$

for any $n \in N$ implies that inclusion $\mathrm{Supp}((xc_x)^n) \subset G_{xc_x} = G_x$ holds if and only if $\mathrm{Supp}(x^n) \subset G_x$ and therefore $m_x = m_{xc_x}$.

Lemma 3. *For any $x \in S$ either both limits $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(x)$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(xc_x)$ do not exist or both of them exist and*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(xc_x)$$

Proof. For the given element x one can represent $p^{[n]}(t)$ in the following form

$$p^{[n]}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^{[n]} t^k = \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} a_r^{[n]} t^r + \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} t^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]} t^{km_x}$$

Therefore assuming that $x^{m_x} = c_x + x_1$ one has

$$p^{[n]}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} a_r^{[n]} x^r + \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} x^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]} (c_x + x_1)^k =$$

$$a_0^{[n]} e + \sum_{r=1}^{m_x-1} a_r^{[n]} x^r + c_x \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} x^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]} + \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} x^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]} x_1^k =$$

$$c_x \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} x^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]} + a_0^{[n]}(e - c_x) + (e - c_c) \sum_{r=1}^{m_x-1} a_r^{[n]} x^r + \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} x^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]} x_1^k$$

and

$$p^{[n]}(xc_x) = \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} a_r^{[n]} (xc_x)^r + \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} (xc_x)^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]} ((c_x + x_1)c_x)^k =$$

$$a_0^{[n]} e + c_x \sum_{r=1}^{m_x-1} a_r^{[n]} x^r + c_x \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} x^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]}$$

$$= c_x \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} x^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]} + a_0^{[n]}(e - c_x)$$

Due to Lemma 1 one has

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{m_x-1} a_r^{[n]} x^r = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} x^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]} x_1^k = 0$$

as far as $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_1^k = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} a^{[n]} = 0$.

Therefore either all three limits

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(x), \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(xc_x), \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} (c_x \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} x^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]} + a_0^{[n]}(e - c_x))$$

exist and are equal or none of them exists.

So due to this Lemma convergence of $\{p^{[n]}(x)\}_{n\in N}$ can be reduced to convergence of $\{p^{[n]}(xc_x)\}_{n\in N}$. One advantage of it is that $n_{xc_x} \leq m_x$. Therefore after finite number of reductions one can reduce convergence of $\{p^{[n]}(t)\}_{n\in N}$ at some point of S to its convergence at a point $x \in S$ for which $n_{xc_x} = n_x$.

Theorem 2. *If $x \in S$, $c_x \neq e$ and $n_{xc_x} = n_x$ then the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(x)$ exists if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_0^{[n]}$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]}$ exist for all $0 \leq r < m_x$. If $x \in S$, $c_x = e$ and $n_{xc_x} = n_x$ then the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(x)$ exists if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]}$ exists for all $0 \leq r < m_x$. When it is the case then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(x) = c_x \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} x^r \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]} + (e - c_x) \lim_{n\to\infty} a_0^{[n]}$$

Proof. Due to Proposition 4 one has $m_x = n_x = n_{xc_x} = m_{xc_x}$. If $c_x \neq e$ then the system

$$e - c_x, c_x, xc_x, x^2c_x, ..., x^{n_x-1}c_x$$

is linear independent. Indeed, if

$$x^m c_x = a_{m-1}x^{m-1}c_x + a_{m-2}x^{m-2}c_x + ... + a_1xc_x + a_0c_x + b(e - c_x)$$

, for some $m < n_x$ and real numbers $a_{m-1}, a_{m-2}, ..., a_0, b$, then multiplication it by $(e-c_x)$ implies that $b = 0$ so

$$x^m c_x = a_{m-1}x^{m-1}c_x + a_{m-2}x^{m-2}c_x + ... + a_1xc_x + a_0c_x$$

Now multiplication it by x^{n_x-m} shows that $x^{n_x}c_x$ can be represented as a linear combination of $\{xc_x, x^2c_x, ..., x^{n_x-1}c_x\}$ which is a contradiction as far as $(xc_x)_e^{n_x} = (x^{n_x}c_x)_e \neq 0$ and

$$(xc_x)_e = (x^2c_x)_e = ... = (x^{n_x-1}c_x)_e = 0$$

In the case of $c_x = e$ still one has linear independence of

$$c_x, xc_x, x^2c_x, ..., x^{n_x-1}c_x$$

Due to the proof of Lemma 3 existence of $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(x)$ is equivalent to the existence of $\lim_{n\to\infty}(c_x \sum_{r=0}^{m_x-1} x^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]} + (e - c_x)a_0^{[n]})$ and due to the above linear independence the existence of the last limit is equivalent to the existence of $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_0^{[n]}$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{km_x+r}^{[n]}$ for all $0 \leq r < m_x$. It is the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 4. *If $a_1, a_2, a_3, ...$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + ... = 1$ then for any $k \geq 2$ and $2 \leq i \leq k$ the following inequality*

$$\sum_{j_1+j_2+...+j_i=k} a_{j_1}a_{j_2}...a_{j_i} \leq (1 - a_k)a$$

is valid, where $a = \sup\{a_1, a_2, a_3, ...\}$ and the expression $\sum_{j_1+j_2+...+j_i=k}$ stands for the summation taken over all $(j_1, j_2, ..., j_i)$ with natural entries for which $j_1 + j_2 + ... + j_i = k$. In particular

$$\sum_{j_1+j_2+...+j_i=k} a_{j_1}a_{j_2}...a_{j_i} \leq a$$

for any $k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. $\sum_{j_1+j_2+...+j_i=k} a_{j_1} a_{j_2} ... a_{j_i} =$

$$\sum_{j_1=1}^{k-(i-1)} a_{j_1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{k-(i-2)-j_1} a_{j_2} \sum_{j_3=1}^{k-(i-3)-(j_1+j_2)} a_{j_3} ... \sum_{j_{i-1}=1}^{k-1-(j_1+j_2+...+j_{i-2})} a_{j_{i-1}} a_{k-(j_1+j_2+...+j_{i-1})}$$

$$\leq a \sum_{j_1=1}^{k-(i-1)} a_{j_1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{k-(i-2)-j_1} a_{j_2} \sum_{j_3=1}^{k-(i-3)-(j_1+j_2)} a_{j_3} ... \sum_{j_{i-1}=1}^{k-1-(j_1+j_2+...+j_{i-2}} a_{j_{i-1}}$$

Due to $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + ... = 1$ one has

$$\sum_{j_1=1}^{k-(i-1)} a_{j_1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{k-(i-2)-j_1} a_{j_2} \sum_{j_3=1}^{k-(i-3)-(j_1+j_2)} a_{j_3} ... \sum_{j_{i-1}=1}^{k-1-(j_1+j_2+...+j_{i-2})} a_{j_{i-1}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{j_1=1}^{k-(i-1)} a_{j_1} = 1 - \sum_{j=k-i+2}^{\infty} a_j \leq 1 - a_k$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{j_1+j_2+...+j_i=k} a_{j_1} a_{j_2} ... a_{j_i} \leq (1 - a_k) a$$

As to inequality

$$\sum_{j_1+j_2+...+j_i=k} a_{j_1} a_{j_2} ... a_{j_i} \leq a$$

for any $k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$ it is now evident.

2. Ergodicity of power series-map

Let now $p(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + ...$ be any power series, where $0 \leq a_i < 1$, and $p(1) = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + ... = 1$. Consider its iterations $p^{[n+1]}(t) = p(p^{[n]}(t))$, where $n \in N$, $p^{([1]}(t) = p(t)$. It is clear that coefficients of power series

$$p^{[n]}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^{[n]} t^k$$

are nonnegative and $p^{[n]}(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^{[n]} = 1$. The following result is about the behavior of $a_0^{[n]}$ and $a^{[n]} = Sup\{a_k^{[n]} : k \in N\}$.

Theorem 3. *The sequence* $\{a_0^{[n]}\}_{n \in N}$ *is a monotone increasing sequence,* $\{a^{[n]}\}$ *is monotone decreasing sequence and* $\lim_{n \to \infty} a^{[n]} = 0$

Proof. Let $p^{[n]}(t) = a_0^{[n]} + p_0^{[n]}(t)$, where $a_0^{[n]}$ is the constant term of $p^{[n]}(t)$. Due to

$$p^{[n+1]}(t) = p(p^{[n]}(t)) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i (a_0^{[n]} + p_0^{[n]}(t))^i$$

and the binomial formula, one has

$$p^{[n+1]}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_0^{[n]i-j} p_0^{[n]}(t)^j \tbinom{i}{j} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i [a_0^{[n]i} + \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_0^{[n]i-j} p_0^{[n]}(t)^j \tbinom{i}{j}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i a_0^{[n]i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \sum_{j=1}^{i} a_0^{[n]i-j} p_0^{[n]}(t)^j \tbinom{i}{j} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i a_0^{[n]i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} a_i a_0^{[n]i-j} \frac{i!}{(i-j)!}) \frac{p_0^{[n]}(t)^j}{j!}$$

$$= p(a_0^{[n]}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(j)}(a_0^{[n]})}{j!} p_0^{[n]}(t)^j.$$

So

$$a_0^{[n+1]} = p(a_0^{[n]})$$

and

$$a_k^{[n+1]} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(i)}(a_0^{[n]})}{i!} \sum_{j_1+j_2+...+j_i=k} a_{j_1}^{[n]} a_{j_2}^{[n]} ... a_{j_i}^{[n]}, \qquad (1)$$

where $p^{(i)}$ stands for i-th derivative of $p(t)$ and the last sum is taken over all $(j_i, j_2, ...j_i)$ with positive integer entries, for which $j_i+j_2+...+j_i = k$. The function $p(t)$ is a monotone increasing function on [0,1) therefore the sequence $\{a_0^{[n]}\}_{n\in N}$ is a monotone increasing one due to $a_0^{[n+1]} = p(a_0^{[n]})$ and one has equality $p(a) = a$, where $a = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_0^{[n]}$. If $a = 1$ then $\lim_{n\to\infty} a^{[n]} = 0$ since $a_k^{[n]} \leq 1 - a_0^{[n]}$ whenever $k \in N$, so in this case, the theorem is true.

For $f(t) = p(t) - t$ one has $f(0) = a_0 \geq 0$, $f(1) = 0$, $f(a) = 0$, $f'(0) = a_1 - 1 < 0$ and $f'(t)$ is a monotone increasing function on [0,1). If $f'(t) \leq 0$ for all $t < 1$ then the function $y = f(t)$ is a positive, strictly decreasing function on $[0, 1)$ and due to t $f(a) = f(1) = 0$ one has $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_0^{[n]} = a = 1$ and the theorem is valid.

Now assume that $\lim_{t\to 1} f'(t) > 0$. In this case there is an unique $0 < b < 1$ for which $f'(b) = 0$ and $0 \leq a < b$. It should be noted that in this case $f'(a) < 0$ i.e. $p'(a) < 1$.

For any $k \geq 2$ due to (1) one has

$$a_k^{[n+1]} = p'(a_0^{[n]})a_k^{[n]} + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(i)}(a_0^{[n]})}{i!} \sum_{j_1+j_2+...+j_i=k} a_{j_1}^{[n]} a_{j_2}^{[n]} ... a_{j_i}^{[n]} =$$

$$p'(a_0^{[n]})a_k^{[n]} + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(i)}(a_0^{[n]})}{i!} (1 - a_0^{[n]})^i \sum_{j_1+j_2+...+j_i=k} \frac{a_{j_1}^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}} \frac{a_{j_2}^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}} ... \frac{a_{j_i}^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}}$$

and therefore due to Lemma 4, one has

$$a_k^{[n+1]} \leq p'(a_0^{[n]})a_k^{[n]} + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(i)}(a_0^{[n]})}{i!} (1 - a_0^{[n]})^i (1 - \frac{a_k^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}}) \sup_{i\geq 1} \frac{a_i^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}} =$$

$$p'(a_0^{[n]})a_k^{[n]} + (1 - \frac{a_k^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}}) \frac{a^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(i)}(a_0^{[n]})}{i!} (1 - a_0^{[n]})^i$$

But

$$\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(i)}(a_0^{[n]})}{i!} (1 - a_0^{[n]})^i = 1 - p(a_0^{[n]}) - (1 - a_0^{[n]})p'(a_0^{[n]})$$

in particular $1 - p(a_0^{[n]}) - (1 - a_0^{[n]})p'(a_0^{[n]}) \geq 0$, as far as
$1 = p(1) = p(a_0^{[n]} + 1 - a_0^{[n]}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i (a_0^{[n]} + (1 - a_0^{[n]}))^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{i}{j} (a_0^{[n]})^{i-j} (1 - a_0^{[n]})^j$
$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \binom{i}{j} a_i (a_0^{[n]})^{i-j}) (1 - a_0^{[n]})^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{(j)}(a_0^{[n]})}{j!} (1 - a_0^{[n]})^j$ and therefore

$$a_k^{[n+1]} \leq p'(a_0^{[n]})a_k^{[n]} + (1 - \frac{a_k^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}}) \frac{a^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}} (1 - p(a_0^{[n]}) - (1 - a_0^{[n]})p'(a_0^{[n]})) \qquad (2)$$

Due to (1) one has

$$a_1^{[n+1]} = p'(a_0^{[n]})a_1^{[n]} \leq p'(a_0^{[n]})a_1^{[n]} + (1 - \frac{a_1^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}})\frac{a^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}}(1 - p(a_0^{[n]}) - (1 - a_0^{[n]})p'(a_0^{[n]}))$$

which implies that inequality (2) is valid at $k = 1$ as well.

First let us show that $\{a^{[n]}\}_{n \in N}$ is a monotone decreasing sequence. Indeed due to inequality (2) for any $k \in N$ one has

$$a_k^{[n+1]} \leq p'(a_0^{[n]})a^{[n]} + \frac{a^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}}(1 - p(a_0^{[n]}) - (1 - a_0^{[n]})p'(a_0^{[n]})) = a^{[n]}\frac{1 - p(a_0^{[n]})}{1 - a_0^{[n]}} \leq a^{[n]}$$

as far as $\frac{1-p(a_0^{[n]})}{1-a_0^{[n]}} = \frac{1-a_0^{[n+1]}}{1-a_0^{[n]}} \leq 1$ and therefore $a^{[n+1]} \leq a^{[n]}$. In particular there exists

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a^{[n]} = \lambda \geq 0$$

Now due to inequality (2) one can have $a_k^{[n+1]} \leq a_k^{[n]}(p'(a_0^{[n]}) -$

$$\frac{\lambda}{(1 - a_0^{[n]})^2}(1 - p(a_0^{[n]}) - (1 - a_0^{[n]})p'(a_0^{[n]}))) + \frac{a^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}}(1 - p(a_0^{[n]}) - (1 - a_0^{[n]})p'(a_0^{[n]})) \quad (3)$$

Consider function $g(t) = p'(t) - \lambda\frac{(1-p(t)-(1-t)p'(t))}{(1-t)^2}$. It was shown that $a_0^{[n]}$ tends to a from the left side. Therefore for all n big enough either
(Case 1)

$$p'[a_0^{[n]}] - \frac{\lambda}{(1 - a_0^{[n]})^2}(1 - p(a_0^{[n]}) - (1 - a_0^{[n]})p'(a_0^{[n]})) \geq 0 \quad \text{or}$$

(Case 2)

$$p'(a_0^{[n]}) - \frac{\lambda}{(1 - a_0^{[n]})^2}(1 - p(a_0^{[n]}) - (1 - a_0^{[n]})p'(a_0^{[n]})) < 0$$

Case 1. In this case for n big enough inequality (3) implies that $a^{[n+1]} \leq$

$$a^{[n]}(p'(a_0^{[n]}) - \frac{\lambda}{(1 - a_0^{[n]})^2}(1 - p(a_0^{[n]}) - (1 - a_0^{[n]})p'(a_0^{[n]}))) + \frac{a^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}}(1 - p(a_0^{[n]}) - (1 - a_0^{[n]})p'(a_0^{[n]}))$$

and therefore by taking limit one has

$$\lambda \leq \lambda(p'(a) - \frac{\lambda}{1 - a}(1 - p'(a))) + \frac{\lambda}{1 - a}(1 - p'(a))(1 - a) = \lambda(1 - \frac{\lambda}{1 - a}(1 - p'(a)))$$

Assumption $\lambda \neq 0$ leads to a contradiction $0 \leq -\frac{\lambda}{1-a}(1 - p'(a))$ as far as $1 - p'(a) > 0$.

Case 2. In this case for n big enough inequality (3) implies that

$$a^{[n+1]} \leq \frac{a^{[n]}}{1 - a_0^{[n]}}(1 - p(a_0^{[n]}) - (1 - a_0^{[n]})p'(a_0^{[n]}))$$

and therefore by taking limit one has

$$\lambda \leq \lambda(1 - p'(a))$$

Assumption $\lambda \neq 0$ leads to a contradiction $0 \leq -p'(a)$. This is the end of the proof of Theorem .

Corollary 1. *Let* $p(t)$ *be as in the Theorem 3 and* $q^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p^{[i]}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_i^{(n)} t^i$. *Then* $\{q_0^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *is a monotone increasing sequence and* $\lim_{n\to\infty} q^{(n)} = 0$, *where*

$$q^{(n)} = Sup\{q_i^{(n)} : i \in N\}$$

Corollary 2. *Let* $p(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k$ *be any series such that* $-1 < a_i < 1$ *whenever* $i \in N \cup \{0\}$*and* $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |a_i| \leq 1$ *then* $\lim_{n\to\infty} a^{[n]} = 0$, *where* $a^{[n]} = Sup\{|a_k^{[n]}| : k \in N\}$, $p^{(1)}(t) = p(t)$, $p^{[n+1]}(t) = p(p^{[n]}(t))$ *and* $p^{[n]}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^{[n]} t^k$.

Corollary 3. *Let* $p(t)$ *be same as in Corollary 2. Then if* $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(0) = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_0^{[n]} = a$ *exists then* $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(t) = a$ *for any* $t \in (-1, 1)$.

Theorem 2 motivates investigation of the following problem. Let $p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i$, where $0 \leq a_i < 1$ whenever $i \in N \cup \{0\}$ and $p(1) = 1$, be a power series, m be a fixed natural number. When does

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{km+r}^{[n]}$$

exist for all $0 \leq r < m$? Obviously it is equivalent to the question: When does $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(\overline{t})$ exist, where $\overline{t} = t\text{mod}(t^m - 1)$? Let $\text{Supp}(p(t)) = \text{Supp}(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i) = \{t^i : a_i \neq 0\ , i \in N \cup \{0\}\}$.

In future it is assumed that $p(t) = t^r p_0(t)$, where $r \geq 0$, $\text{Supp}p_0(t) = \{t^{q_i} : i < l\}$, where $2 \leq l \leq \infty$ and $0 = q_0 < q_1 < q_2 < ....$ It is not difficult to see that

$$p^{[n]}(t) = t^{r^n} p_0^{(n)}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad p_0^{(n+1)}(t) = p_0^{(n)}(t)^r p_0(t^{r^n} p_0^{(n)}(t))$$

, which, due to Proposition 1, implies that

1. $\text{Supp}(p_0^{(n)}(t)) \subset \langle\{t^{q_i} : i < l\}\rangle$, where $\langle\{t^{q_i} : i < l\}\rangle$ stands for the semigroup generated by $\{t^{q_i} : i < l\}$.
2. $t^{q_i}\text{Supp}(p_0^{(n)}(t)) \subset \text{Supp}(p_0^{(n+1)}(t))$ for any $i < l$

The second property implies, as far as $\text{Supp}(p_0^{(n)}(t)) \subset \text{Supp}(p_0^{(n+1)}(t))$, that the sets $\text{Supp}(p_0^{(n)}(\overline{t}))$ are the same sets for all big enough $n \in N$. Let us denote it by $G_{p_0(t)}$. Moreover $\overline{t}^{q_i} G_{p_0(t)} \subset G_{p_0(t)}$ and $\{\overline{t}^{q_i} : i < l\} \subset G_{p_0(t)}$. Therefore taking into account the first property one can conclude that $G_{p_0(t)}$ is the subgroup of $\{\overline{t}^0, \overline{t}^1, ..., \overline{t}^{m-1}\}$ generated by $\{\overline{t}^{q_i} : i < l\}$. Assume that it is as a cyclic group generated by $\overline{t}^q$, where $0 \leq q < m$.

It is clear that the sequence $\{r^k \text{mod} m\}_{k\in N}$ is a repeating sequence i.e. there are $d \in N$ and different numbers $m_0, m_1, ..., m_{d-1}$ between 0 and $m - 1$ for which

$$r^{kd+i} = m_i \text{mod} m$$

whenever $i \in \{0, 1, ..., d - 1\}$ and k is big enough.

Theorem 4. *1. If* $\cap_{i=0}^{d-1} \overline{t}^{m_i} G_{p_0(t)} = \emptyset$ *then* $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{(n)}(\overline{t})$ *does not exist.*
2. If $\cap_{i=0}^{d-1} \overline{t}^{m_i} G_{p_0(t)} \neq \emptyset$ *then* $\overline{t}^{m_i} G_{p_0(t)} = \overline{t}^{m_j} G_{p_0(t)}$ *whenever* $i, j \in \{0, 1, ..., d - 1\}$, *the limit* $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(\overline{t})$ *exists and*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(\overline{t}) = \overline{t}^{m_0} c_{p_0(t)} + (e - c_{p_0(t)})a$$

, *where* $c_{p_0(t)} = \frac{1}{|G_{p_0(t)}|} \sum_{g \in G_{p_0(t)}} g$ *and* $a = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_0^{[n]}$

Proof. Assume that $\cap_{i=0}^{d-1} \overline{t}^{m_i} G_{p_0(t)} = \emptyset$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(\overline{t})$ exists and the coefficient at $\overline{t}^{m_0+h}$ in $\lim_{k\to\infty} p^{[kd]}(\overline{t}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(\overline{t})$ is not zero. Due to $\cap_{i=0}^{d-1} \overline{t}^{m_i} G_{p_0(t)} = \emptyset$ one can find $0 \leq j < m$ for which $\overline{t}^{m_0+h} \notin \overline{t}^{m_j} G_{p_0(t)}$. It means that if even $\lim_{k\to\infty} p^{[kd+j]}(\overline{t})$

exists the coefficient at $\overline{t}^{m_0+h}$ in $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(\overline{t})$ is zero. This contradiction indicates that $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(\overline{t})$ can not exist.

Now assume that $\cap_{i=0}^{d-1}\overline{t}^{m_i}G_{p_0(t)} \neq \emptyset$. In this case one can find integer numbers $s_0, s_1, ..., s_{d-1}$ such that $\overline{t}^{m_0}\overline{t}^{qs_0} = \overline{t}^{m_1}\overline{t}^{qs_1} = ... = \overline{t}^{m_{d-1}}\overline{t}^{qs_{d-1}}$ and therefore $\overline{t}^{m_i}G_{p_0(t)} = \overline{t}^{m_j}G_{p_0(t)}$ whenever $i, j \in \{0, 1, ..., d-1\}$. In particular $\overline{t}^{r^n}c_{p_0(t)} = \overline{t}^{m_0}c_{p_0(t)}$ for all $n \in N$ big enough.

We know that for $n_0 \in N$ big enough $p_0^{(n_0)}(\overline{t}) \in \overset{\circ}{S}_{G_{p_0(t)}}$ and due to Theorem 1 one has $\lim_{k\to\infty} p_0^{(n_0)}(\overline{t})^k = c_{p_0(t)}$. Let $p_0^{(n_0)}(\overline{t}) = c_{p_0(t)} + x_1$. Due to $\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} p_0^{(n_0)}(\overline{t}) = \overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} c_{p_0(t)} + \overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} x_1$ and $c_{p_0(t)}x_1 = 0$ one has

$$p^{[n]}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} p_0^{(n_0)}(\overline{t})) = p^{[n]}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} c_{p_0(t)} + \overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} x_1) = p^{[n]}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}})c_{p_0(t)} - a_0^{[n]}c_{p_0(t)} + [p^{[n]}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} x_1) - a_0^{[n]}e] + a_0^{[n]}e$$

But $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_1^k = \lim_{k\to\infty}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} x_1)^k = 0$ and therefore due to Lemma 2, Theorem 3 one has $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} x_1) - a_0^{[n]}e = 0$. Therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(\overline{t}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}[\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} p_0^{(n_0)}(\overline{t})]$ exists if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty}(p^{[n]}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}})c_{p_0(t)} - a_0^{[n]}c_{p_0(t)} + a_0^{[n]}e)$ exists. Now to finish the proof notice that for any $n \in N$ one has $p_0^{(n)}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}})c_{p_0(t)} = c_{p_0(t)}$ and $p^{[n]}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}}) = \overline{t}^{r^{n_0+n}} p_0^{(n)}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}})$ which implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} p^{[n]}(\overline{t}) = \overline{t}^{m_0}c_{p_0(t)} + (e - c_{p_0(t)})a$, where $a = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_0^{[n]}$.

Examples. 1. Let $p(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^3(1 + t^4)$, $m = 12$. In this case $r = 3$, $p_0(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + t^4)$, $\overline{t}^{12} = 1$, $G_{p_0(t)} = \{1, \overline{t}^4, \overline{t}^8\}$, $d = 2$ and $m_0 = 3$, $m_1 = 9$. The intersection $\cap_{i=0}^{d-1}\overline{t}^{m_i}G_{p_0(t)}$ is empty as far as $m_0G_{p_0(t)} = \{\overline{t}^3, \overline{t}^7, \overline{t}^{11}\}$ and $m_1G_{p_0(t)} = \{\overline{t}, \overline{t}^5, \overline{t}^9\}$.

2. Now let $p(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^3(1 + t^4)$ be same, $m = 10$. In this case $r = 3$, $p_0(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + t^4)$, $\overline{t}^{10} = 1$, $G_{p_0(t)} = \{1, \overline{t}^2, \overline{t}^4, \overline{t}^6, \overline{t}^8, \}$, $d = 4$ and $m_0 = 3$, $m_1 = 9$, $m_2 = 7$, $m_3 = 1$. The intersection $\cap_{i=0}^{d-1}\overline{t}^{m_i}G_{p_0(t)}$ is equal to $\{\overline{t}, \overline{t}^3, \overline{t}^5, \overline{t}^7, \overline{t}^9\}$.

Theorem 5. *The above considered map $p : S \to S$ is ergodic on S.*

Proof. Let $q^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} p^{[i]}(t)$ for $n \in N$. Due to Lemma 3,Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 to show ergodicity of p at $x \in S$ one can assume that $n_x = n_{xc_x}$ and prove existence of

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_{km_x+r}^{(n)}$$

for all $0 \leq r < m_x$. We consider any fixed $m \in N$ and show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} q^{(n)}(\overline{t})$ exists. It is easy to see that $q^{(n)}[p^{[n_0]}(t)] = q^{(n+n_0)}(t) + \frac{n_0}{n}(q^{(n+n_0)}(t) - q^{(n_0)}(t))$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n_0}{n}(q^{(n+n_0)}(\overline{t}) - q^{(n_0)}(\overline{t})) = 0$. So if $\lim_{n\to\infty} q^{(n)}(p^{[n_0]}(\overline{t}))$ exists then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} q^{(n)}[(p^{[n_0]}(\overline{t})) = \lim_{n\to\infty} q^{(n)}(\overline{t})$$

For $n_0 \in N$ big enough $p_0^{(n_0)}(\overline{t}) \in \overset{\circ}{S}_{G_{p_0(t)}}$ and due to Theorem 1 one has $\lim_{k\to\infty} p_0^{(n_0)}(\overline{t})^k = c_{p_0(t)}$. Let $p_0^{(n_0)}(\overline{t}) = c_{p_0(t)} + x_1$. One has

$$q^{(n)}(p^{([n_0]}(\overline{t})) = q^{(n)}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} p_0^{(n_0)}(\overline{t})) = q^{(n)}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} c_{p_0(t)} + \overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} x_1) =$$

$$q^{(n)}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}})c_{p_0(t)} - q_0^{(n)}c_{p_0(t)} + [q^{(n)}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} x_1) - q_0^{(n)}e] + q_0^{(n)}e$$

and $\lim_{n\to\infty}[q^{(n)}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} x_1) - q_0^{(n)}] = 0$ due to $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_1^k = \lim_{k\to\infty}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}} x_1)^k = 0$. Moreover $q^{(n)}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}})c_{p_0(t)} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{t}^{r^{n_0+i}} p_0^{(i)}[(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}})c_{p_0(t)}$ and $p_0^{(i)}(\overline{t}^{r^{n_0}})c_{p_0(t)} = c_{p_0(t)}$ whenever $i \in N$. Therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} q^{(n)}(\overline{t}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} q^{(n)}[p^{(n_0)}(\overline{t})] = \frac{1}{d}\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \overline{t}^{m_i} c_{p_0(t)} + a(e - c_{p_0(t)})$$

To complete the picture let us consider the extremal case $p(t) = t^r$ as well, where $r > 1$. Let $S_r(x)$ stand for the set of all limits all converging subsequences of $\{p^{(n)}(x)\}_{n\in N} = \{x^{r^n}\}_{n\in N}$. The sequence $\{r^n \mathrm{mod} m_x\}_{n\in N}$ is a repeating sequence. Let $(m_o, m_1, ..., m_{d-1})$ be its repeating part, where $m_0, m_1, ..., m_{d-1}$ are different numbers between 0 and $m_x - 1$. By the use of Theorem 1 the following result can be proved easily.

Theorem 1'. *1. For any $x \in S$ the equalities*

$$S_r(x) = \{c_x x^{m_i} : 0 \leq i < d\} = \{x^{m_i} c_x : 0 \leq i < d\}$$

are valid. In particular, $|S_r(x)| = 1$ if and only if m_x divides $r^k(r-1)$ for some $k \in N$.
2. $\lim_{n\to\infty} q^{(n)}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n} x^{r^n} = \frac{1}{d}\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} x^{m_i} c_x$

References

(1) H. Kesten, Quadratic transformations: a model for population growth. I,II. Adv. Appl. Probab. **2**(1970), 1-82; 179-228.

[2] Yu.I. Lyubich, Mathematical structures in population genetics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[3] U. Bekbaev,Regularity and ergodicity properties of polynomial maps induced by the multiplication of group algebra of a finite group. Proceedings of The second International Conference on Research and Education in Mathematics (ICREM 2),pp.134-144,May 25-27, 2005.

[4] U. Bekbaev, Mohamat Aidil M. J.,Regularity and ergodicity properties of polynomial maps induced by the multiplication of group algebra of a finite group (Nonzero constant case). Proceedings of The second International Conference on Research and Education in Mathematics (ICREM 2), pp.144-153,May 25-27, 2005.

[5] S.Lang, Algebra, Columbia University,Addison-Wesley,1972.